

APPEAL NO. 041307
FILED JULY 21, 2004

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on May 7, 2004. The hearing officer resolved the disputed issues by deciding that the appellant (claimant) did not sustain a compensable injury on _____, and did not have disability resulting from the claimed injury. The claimant appealed on sufficiency of the evidence grounds and the respondent (carrier) responded, urging affirmance.

DECISION

Affirmed.

We have reviewed the complained-of injury determination and find that the hearing officer did not err in determining that the claimant did not sustain a compensable injury on _____. The issue presented a question of fact for the hearing officer. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the weight and credibility of the evidence. Section 410.165(a); Texas Employers Insurance Association v. Campos, 666 S.W.2d 286 (Tex. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1984, no writ). There was conflicting evidence presented on the disputed injury issue. It was for the hearing officer, as the trier of fact, to resolve the conflicts and inconsistencies in the evidence and to determine what facts had been established. Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). The hearing officer noted that he did not find the claimant's testimony of the alleged events of Friday, _____, to be credible. Nothing in our review of the record reveals that the hearing officer's determination is so contrary to the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. As such, no sound basis exists for us to reverse that determination on appeal. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986). Without a compensable injury, the claimant would not have disability as defined by Section 401.011(16). Consequently, the hearing officer did not err in determining that the claimant has not had disability.

We affirm the decision and order of the hearing officer.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

**LEE F. MALO
12222 MERIT DRIVE, SUITE 700
DALLAS, TEXAS 75251.**

Margaret L. Turner
Appeals Judge

CONCUR:

Gary L. Kilgore
Appeals Judge

Veronica L. Ruberto
Appeals Judge